

Rajm - Stoning The Adulterers

http://www.muftisays.com/blog/Seifeddine-M/1146_07-02-2011/rajm-.-stoning-the-adulterers.html

Recently there has been intense comment on the Islamic injunction of Rajm or stoning to death the adulterers. If adultery (zina) committed by married persons is proven on the basis of Shar'i evidence, the Hadd (prescribed punishment) of Rajm is applied.

The validity of Rajm is based on all the Sources of Islamic Law (the Shariah of the Qur'aan). There has NEVER been the slightest difference of opinion among the Ahlus Sunnah Wal Jama'ah regarding the validity of Rajm. There is complete Ijma' (Consensus) of the Ummah on the validity of the Hadd of Rajm.

Kufr

Denial of Rajm is kufr — kufr which expels the denier from the fold of Islam. This naseehat is for Muslims—those Muslims who have been thrown into doubt and confusion by the many modernist munaafiqeen lurking within the folds of the Ummah. These modernists who happen to be the agents of the Western Kuffaar as well as the agents of Shaitaan have abortively attempted to refute the validity of Rajm. In their exercise of kufr, they have failed.

They will not gain recruits and followers for their kufr from the rank and file of the Ummah. Only those who have been condemned to kufr by Allah Ta'ala entertain views of kufr. To appease the kuffaar, the munaafiqeen present utterly baseless arguments to refute Rajm so as to make their peculiar brand of 'islam' palatable and acceptable to their western masters. Such people have been born in kufr and lead lives of kufr even if they were born in the homes of Muslims and they bear Muslim names.

Their Argument

The only argument the modernist munaafiqeen have presented to bolster their corrupt view of kufr is the western claim that Rajm is 'barbaric'.

If Rajm is barbaric, then every act of execution regardless of the method of killing, is likewise barbaric. Rajm inflicted on immoral criminals—perhaps a half dozen such cases in the past 14 centuries— is 'barbaric', but brutally exterminating thousands of civilians with the most heinous types of poisons and sophisticated weaponry is not barbaric. Torturing hideously and horribly thousands of prisoners held in cages and prisons is not barbaric! Yet the same torturers scream that Rajm is 'barbaric'. And, the modernist munaafiqeen among the Muslims pipe the same theme in obedience to the commands of their kuffaar masters.

The contention that Allah Ta'ala cannot permit such a 'barbaric' punishment is utterly untenable when viewing the punishments which He imposes on His creation for their transgressions. We are speaking to Muslims — not to the munaafiqeen nor to the kuffaar. Earthquakes, volcanic eruptions,

floods, hurricanes and the like cause immense hardship, havoc, maiming and death to thousands—men, women and children. Such punishments are manifestations of Allah's Wrath.

Hudood

In this world He has ordained the Hudood punishments — stoning, cutting of the hand, lashes, etc. In the Akhirah the punishment is terrible. In the light of the horrors of the Akhirah, Rajm and all the other Hudood pale into oblivion and seem merciful. No one has the right to question the Prerogative Power and the Decrees of Allah Azza Wa Jal. He operates and decrees in His creation as He wills. Everything is subjected to His Wisdom, not our defective understanding and emotions.

"Barbaric"

The munaafiqeen who portray themselves as Muslims never spoke or wrote about the barbarism of the western styles of punishment such as hanging for example. Since the barbarism is executed behind closed doors, no one objects. But when Qisaas (such as beheading with the sword) for murder is meted out in public, a hue and cry goes up. Then the modernist munaafiqeen join their kuffaar masters to denigrate the Commands of Allah by presenting baseless interpretations which no authority in Islam had ever expounded.

As far as the kuffaar are concerned, not only Rajm , but all aspects of Islam's Penal Code are 'barbaric'. In terms of the logic of the modernist munaafiqeen, the Qur'aanic punishments of a tooth for a tooth, an eye for an eye, etc. should also be 'barbaric'. When this is the case and the idea they entertain in regard to what Allah Ta'ala has prescribed in the Qur'aan and in the Sunnah of Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam), what doubt can there be in their kufr and nifaaq?

Overwhelming

Islam's proof for the validity of Rajm is overwhelming. There is absolutely no scope for doubting that Rajm is the Command of Allah Ta'ala. Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) had ordered that Rajm be meted out. The Khulafa-e-Raashideen radiallahu anhum had ordered it during their Khilaafat. Not a single one among the Authorities of the Shariah from the age of the Sahaabah down to this day has ever contested the validity of Rajm. Only the modernist munaafiqeen who happen to be the slaves of western mentality, have raised objections in this age against the Divine Command of Rajm.

Authenticity

The authenticity of a view or interpretation in Islam is not based on anyone's logical reasoning. The principle of authenticity and validity is the existence and acceptance of a decree or view during the age of Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) and the Sahaabah radiallahu anhum —in fact in the period known as Khairul Quroon. A view which is in conflict with a law propounded and upheld in the Khairul Quroon is rejected and accursed. It has no validity in the Shariah regardless of its appeal to the logic of modernists and its conflict with the norms and concepts which these munaafiqeen propound by way of baseless interpretation with the aim of finding accommodation for their brand

of 'islam' within the fold of western thinking.

Warning

Warning the Mu'mineen of the traps of the munaafiqeen, the Qur'aan Majeed says: **"O Nabi! Fear Allah and do not follow the Kaafireen and the Munaafiqeen. Verily, Allah is the All-Knower, The Wise. Follow what has been revealed to you from your Rabb. Verily, Allah is fully aware of what they are doing."** (Surah Ahzaab)

Rajm has been prescribed by Allah Ta'ala. The arguments of the modernist munaafiqeen have absolutely no validity in Islam. These baatil interpretations are fabricated solely to appease the kuffaar intellectual and political masters.

"The Majlis" Vol.15 No